CCRB INVESTIGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

Investigator:		Team:	CCRB Case #:	☐ Force	☐ Discourt.	☐ U.S.	
Aurora Maoz		Team # 4	200716141	✓ Abuse	O.L.	☐ Injury	
Incident Date(s)		Location of Incident:	•	Precinct:	18 Mo. SOL	EO SOL	
Monday, 11/05/2007 1:00 AM		West 176th Street betw Avenue and University		46	5/5/2009	5/5/2009	
Date/Time CV Reported		CV Reported At:	How CV Reported:	Date/Time	Received at CCI	RB	
Mon, 11/05/2007 2:33 AM		CCRB	Call Processing System	Mon, 11/0	5/2007 2:33 AM	I	
Complainant/Victim	Type	Home Address					
Subject Officer(s)	Shield	TaxID	Command				
1. POM Ronald Hobson	17689	941908	046 PCT				
2. An officer							
Officer(s)	Allegatio	Allegation			Investigator Recommendation		
A.POM Ronald Hobson	Abuse: PO Ronald Hobson stopped 887(2)(b)						
B. An officer	Abuse: A	an officer stopped § 87(2)(b)					
C.POM Ronald Hobson	Abuse: PO Ronald Hobson stopped an individual.						
D. An officer	Abuse: An officer stopped and individual.						

Synopsis

complaint against PO Ronald Holon November 5, 2007, on West 1 Bronx, \$87(2)(b) and her frier parked in front of a store. She go change, and while she was makin got into the passenge and PO Hobson was the passenge began to question her as well. Podid. At that point, \$87(2)(b) her about drugs, saying that peop pockets, showing him that she has conversation, PO Hobson was loot thought she was attractive. PO Ho neighborhood, and then handed it identification. After that, PO Ho into their vehicles. Since the invewithdrew her complaint, allegation Many attempts were man November 9, 2007, and December Undersigned spoke with \$87(2)(b) stated that she she made the decision of her own withdrawing he complaint. She as December 7, 2007. Team 4 Management of the store of the	called the CCRB call processing system to file a soon and an unidentified officer from the 46th Precinct. Around 1:00 AM 76th Street between West Tremont Avenue and University Avenue in the dd '\$ 72 \(\) (whose information \\$ 74 \(\) (whose information \\$ 74 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation with PO Hobson on the street. He continued to question \\$ 8 \(\) (whose information \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 4 \(\) (whose information \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 4 \(\) (whose information \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ (which both of them and a conversation \\$ 8 \(\) (which both of them and a conversation \\$ (which both of them and a conversation
Investigator:	Date:
Supervisor:	Date:
Reviewed by:	Date: